	Lenden Deneugh of Hommensmith & Fullyon				
	London Borough of Hammersmith & Fulham				
hammersmith & fulham	HEALTH & WELLBEING BOARD 22 June 2015				
TITLE OF REPORT: 2015-16 CCG Operating Plan, Quality Premium and CCG					
Narrative supporting Operational Plans					
Report by: Hammersmith & Fulham CCG					
Open Report					
Classification – Ratification of decision on 2015-16 Quality Premium					
Classification – Ratification of decision on 2015-16 Quality Fremium					
Key Decision: Yes					
Wards Affected: All					
Accountable Executive Director: Janet Cree, Interim Managing Director,					
Hammersmith & Fulham CCG					
Report Author: Bhavesh					
	Tel: 020 33504161				
	E-mail: Bhavesh.Patel@nw.london.nhs.uk				

### 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1. The Quality premium is intended to reward CCGs to:
  - Improvements in the quality of services they commission
  - Improvements in health outcomes and
  - Reduction in inequalities in access and in health outcomes
- 1.2. It will be paid to CCGs in 2016/17 based on performance against measures that incorporate a combination of national and local priorities.
- 1.3. Final submission of our plans was made to NHS England on 14<sup>th</sup> May-15 after discussion of the quality premium between Dr Tim Spicer, Chair of Hammersmith & Fulham CCG and Cllr Lukey, Chair of Health and Wellbeing Board. A summary of the CCG plans is attached.

### 2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

2.1. The Committee is asked to note that on 2<sup>nd</sup> June-15; the Governing Body of the CCG ratified the action taken by the CCG Chair on 11<sup>th</sup> May-15, following a discussion and agreement with Cllr Lukey.

### 3. REASONS FOR DECISION

- 3.1. Each CCG is required to submit its Operating Plan along with the choice of quality premium measures for 2015-16.
- 3.2. The guidance was issued 31<sup>st</sup> Mar-15 and there has not been a HWB or H & F CCG Governing Body (GB) since. The options around the quality premium were therefore discussed with GB members both virtually and at GB seminars, and by the CCG Chair with Cllr Lukey. This is because CCG is required to choose the menu of measures in conjunction with the Health & Wellbeing Board.
- 3.3. The GB of 2<sup>nd</sup> Jun-15 ratified Chair's action.

# 4. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

- 4.1. The Quality premium is based on measures that cover a combination of national and local priorities. These are:
  - Reducing potential years of lives lost through causes considered amenable to healthcare
  - Urgent and emergency care
  - Mental health
  - Improving antibiotic prescribing in primary and secondary care
  - Two local measures
- 4.2. The choice of measures along with targets and allocation of quality premium are outlined in Appendix 2.
- 4.3. The CCG will have its quality premium payment reduced if the providers from whom it commissions services do not meet the NHS Constitution requirements. These requirements and targets for 2015-16 are outlined in Appendix 2.

### 5. PROPOSAL AND ISSUES

- 5.1. CCG Chair discussed the Operating plan and Quality premium submission with Cllr Lukey on 11<sup>th</sup> May-15.
- 5.2. Following the discussion with CCG Chair, Cllr Lukey approved our choices of measures and targets.
- 5.3. Implementation plans and process to monitor performance to deliver the targets are being developed locally and a process is in place for escalating any concerns via programme management arrangements that have been established within the CCG and NHS England Assurance meetings.

# 6. OPTIONS AND ANALYSIS OF OPTIONS

6.1. In agreeing the quality premium measures and targets, review of baseline information and service plans were considered. All proposals were discussed and subject to robust challenge by H&F CCG Governing Body members before a final decision were reached.

### 7. CONSULTATION

7.1. An extensive consultation process has been followed with discussion of requirements and assessment of baseline information, target, and delivery plans at CCG business planning meetings, the CCG Operational Group, Finance and Performance, and the CCG Governing Body. The H&WB discussed an early list of priorities from which the final decisions were drawn.

### 8. EQUALITY IMPLICATIONS

8.1 Equality impact assessment not required.

### 9. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

9.1. No legal implications are perceived.

### 10. FINANCIAL AND RESOURCES IMPLICATIONS

10.1. The maximum quality premium payment for this year is approximately £1m, payable in 2016/17 subject to performance.

### 11. RISK MANAGEMENT

11.1. Any risks related to the delivery of targets will be discussed as part of the programme management meetings and captured in local project /corporate risk registers.

### 12. PROCUREMENT AND IT STRATEGY IMPLICATIONS

12.1. There are no contractual or procurement arrangements involved.

### LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 2000 LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS USED IN PREPARING THIS REPORT

No.	Description of Background Papers	Name/Ext of holder of file/copy	Department/ Location	
N/A				

### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1: 2015-16 Operating Plan, Quality Premium and Operational Plan Appendix 2: Operational Planning Narrative